

fact, in writing, on your websites, and on occasion during television broadcasts of any 20/20 cricket matches. I do not believe this is an unreasonable request given my idea is a much more substantial contribution in the evolution of the game of cricket than say the DL method. I would also like you to consider the question of any royalties I may deserve. If no action is taken in regard to this matter by the end of January 2009, I reserve the right to take legal action, against any cricket authority, broadcaster or promoter, without further notice. I plan to speak to a lawyer early in 2009 about this matter, and I will seek legal assistance from international barristers or solicitors, on a pro bono basis.

I believe that I deserve recognition and possible imbursement for my idea and for my important contribution to the evolution of the game of cricket.

Yours sincerely,

Dr George Christos

cc England and Wales Cricket Board (feedback@ecb.co.uk)
 Cricket Australia (public_inquiries@cricket.com.au)
 Cricket South Africa (csa@cricket.co.za)
 Board of Control for Cricket in India (info@bccci.tv)
 Pakistan Cricket Board (email address requested, will send copy later)
 West Indies Cricket Board (wicb@windiescricket.com)
 ~~Sri Lanka Cricket Board (dissolved Dec. 2008, no contact details)~~
 Zimbabwe Cricket Board (email address requested, will send copy later)
 Bangladesh Cricket Board (feedback@tigercricket.com)

Encl. letter to ICC proposing 20-over cricket with the benefits thereof, dated 2/5/97.
 Reply to the above letter from the ICC, dated 14 May 1997.
 Reply to my letter from the EWCB, dated 10 June 1997.
 (photo)copy of article in 'The Cricketer', Dec. 1998.
 (photo)copy of letters to the editor in 'The Cricketer', Jan. 1999.
 excerpt from a letter to me from Richie Benaud.